

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,954	08/12/2002		David Rudov		1805	4890
24264	7590 06/26/2003	,		;	•	
TIMOTHY J MARTIN, PC		٠.	EXAMINER		NER	
9250 W 5TH SUITE 200	AVENUE				MELLER, M	ICHAEL V
LAKEWOOI	O, CO 80226				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	* * * * * * * * * *	•)(•			1654	i
	•			:	DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	G.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
ائل دن		10/088,954	RUDOV, DAVID					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
•		Michael V. Meller	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status		• •	• •					
1)⊠								
2a)_	,	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 23-37 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 23-27</u> is/are rejected.								
7)[_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	*						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Acceleration contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which a contains the conta

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12 and 23-27, drawn to a product.

Group II, claim(s) 28-37, drawn to a method of using the product.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the invention lacks a special technical feature since it was known in the art as evidenced by the references of record.

During a telephone conversation with Mike Hensen on 6/10/2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12 and 23-27. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 28-37 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 and 23-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are written in such confusing terms. The claims are claiming a product and should be claimed as such. One or two claims to the product would make more sense with dependent claim therefrom. The current claims are improper in their use of "the use of" and read on product claims. Further, in claims such as claim 6 it is confusing since there is no "primary treatment substance" thus it does not have proper antecedant basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1-12 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rudov (US 4943433), WO 91/11191 or AU A 81985/87.

The references each teach that rye grass and antibiotics are used together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudov (US 4943433), WO 91/11191 or AU A 81985/87.

The references teach what is above. It would have been obvious to use specific amounts of the extract and in the different forms since they are simply the choice of the artisan in an effort to optimize the desired results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 1654

308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM June 17, 2003